

Volumetric Chemisorption Methods for Metal Crystallite Size Determination

1. Background

One of the most important properties which characterizes a supported metal catalyst is its specific metal surface area.⁽¹⁾ This information may be gained by several well-established experimental techniques^(2,3) and is usually reported as the average metal crystallite diameter of the catalyst. This parameter provides a means of comparing catalysts prepared by different methods or in different laboratories. A previous *AMI Note* discussed how surface area measurements may be obtained from temperature-programmed desorption data for supported metal catalysts. This *AMI Note* will focus on how the same information is obtained from a more traditional chemisorption method known as volumetric or static chemisorption.

2. Theory

Chemisorption techniques rely on the principle of adsorption, and strength of adsorption is often an important parameter in catalyst characterization. The equilibrium adsorption measured in volumetric chemisorption experiments may include adsorption on sites with adsorption strengths ranging from very strong to the weakest adsorption possible at the adsorption temperature. Many researchers recognize two types of chemisorption called "irreversible", or strong chemisorption, and "reversible", or weak chemisorption. These terms are subjective and are not unequivocally defined, although reversible chemisorption usually refers to the amount of adsorbate which may be easily removed by a brief evacuation of the sample at ambient temperature. Because the volumetric




Figure 1: a) Schematic diagram of volumetric chemisorption instrument design and b) representative results from volumetric chemisorption experiments

chemisorption technique is static (as opposed to a dynamic technique, like pulse chemisorption), both types of chemisorption are measured.

Quantitative monitoring of the chemisorption of certain permanent gases such as H₂, O₂, N₂, or CO is a well-established method for determining metal crystallite size. In volumetric chemisorption experiments, equilibrium adsorption is carried out in a vacuum system capable of accurate P-V-T measurements, shown in Fig. 1a. The pressures (< 1 atm) and temperatures (usually ambient) employed allow the use of the ideal gas law (Eqn. 1).

$$PV = nRT \quad (1)$$

The most convenient experimental setup is one in which the volume of the system and the temperature are known constants, and the number of moles of gas in the system is calculated by measuring the pressure. The moles of gas removed from the gas phase by chemisorption on the catalyst surface is measured as a decrease in pressure of the system at constant volume and temperature. This measurement is repeated by admitting more gas into the system and calculating a new equilibrium pressure to complete an isotherm of moles adsorbed vs pressure. Extrapolation to P = 0 yields the total chemisorption uptake (see Figure 1b).

The first step in volumetric chemisorption is to calculate the number of moles of adsorbing gas n_i introduced into a known volume V_k , at pressure P_i and temperature T . This gas is then expanded into the sample volume V_s and is allowed to equilibrate to a final pressure P_f . The number of moles taken up by the sample equals the initial moles of gas minus the moles left in the gas phase after adsorption equilibrium is attained, shown in Eqn. 2:

$$n_{ads} = n_i - \left[\frac{P_f(V_k + V_s)}{RT} \right] \quad (2)$$

The data obtained from volumetric chemisorption is the same as temperature-programmed desorption: an uptake, or moles of gas adsorbed, per atom of metal. Even though volumetric chemisorption experiments are governed by a relation as simple as the ideal gas law, use of the data to determine metal crystallite size requires some understanding of the chemistry of the catalyst system involved, just as was found for temperature-programmed desorption data.

3. Interpreting Results and Adsorption Stoichiometry

The most important question is how to relate the experimental measurement, the uptake of adsorbate, to the desired catalyst characteristic, the size of the metal crystallites. This is where the parameter of the adsorbate: metal stoichiometry is introduced. It is conventionally assumed that chemisorption of atoms or molecules occurs on metal surfaces in a well-defined way. Adsorption is limited to one layer or less on surface metal atoms alone, with a constant number of atoms or molecules adsorbing on each surface metal atom. If this stoichiometry is known, the number of surface metal atoms is easily calculated from the chemisorption uptake. Since the surface area of a

single metal atom is known, the surface area of all the exposed metal atoms can then be calculated.

How is this adsorption stoichiometry established? Chemisorption measurements must be carried out in conjunction with another, independent measurement of crystallite size to determine the number of adsorbates per metal site ($n_{\text{ads}}: M_{\text{surf}}$) for each new adsorbate/metal combination. Fortunately, extensive experiments from the last century have established adsorption stoichiometries for many common systems, as shown in Table 1.⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾ For these cases, crystallite size determination by volumetric chemisorption has become a routine characterization tool.

Metal	Adsorbate	Stoichiometric Factor (SF)	Reference
Ni	H ₂	H _{ads} : Ni _{surf} = 1:1	(4)
	CO	CO _{ads} : Ni _{surf} = 0.5 - 3:1	(5)
Pt	H ₂	H _{ads} : Pt _{surf} = 1:1	(6)

Table 1: Experimentally derived stoichiometric factors (SFs) for H₂ and CO chemisorption on Ni and Pt

It is important to mention that each of the stoichiometries listed in Table 1 were determined for a particular set of experimental conditions. These ratios are likely to change with temperature. This means that there is no "correct" stoichiometry or "standard" experimental conditions, and that volumetric chemisorption experiments should always be carried out under whatever conditions were used to establish the adsorbate: metal stoichiometry. It should also be noted that different sources may use different definitions of the stoichiometric factor (SF), depending on how uptake is defined in the system. For example, if uptake is defined as moles of H₂ per metal atom, then the SF = 0.5 when H₂ dissociates into 2 atomic H adsorbates which each bind to one metal atom. If uptake is defined as moles of atomic H per metal atom, then the SF = 1. Either may be used, but the definition must be consistent.

4. Effect of Adsorption Time

Another important experimental variable is adsorption time. Volumetric chemisorption is assumed to be a measurement of the equilibrium chemisorption uptake by the surface; in other words, the maximum amount which may be adsorbed at the temperature of the experiment. The time required to reach this equilibrium will vary depending on the type of metal and adsorbate and the temperature, and this time may range from minutes to hours to days. Experiments completed before equilibrium is attained will measure erroneously low adsorbate uptakes.

5. Conclusions

Metal crystallite sizes are determined by counting chemisorbed species in both volumetric chemisorption and temperature-programmed desorption experiments. A future *AMI Note* in this series will address the questions: How do these techniques compare? Can a researcher expect to obtain the same crystallite size for the same sample using two different techniques?

6. References

1. Boudart, M. Catalysis by supported metals. *Adv. Catal.* **1969**, *20*, 153-166.
2. Gogate, M. R. Recent research advances and critical assessment of methods to determine the particle size in supported metals. *Appl. Catal. A.*, **2016**, *514*, 203-213.
3. Gregor, R. B. and Lytle, F. W. Morphology of supported metal clusters: Determination by EXAFS and chemisorption. *J. Catal.* **1980**, *63*, 476-486.
4. Mustard, D. G. and Bartholomew, C. H. Determination of metal crystallite size and morphology in supported nickel catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1981**, *67*, 186-206.
5. Blackmond, D. G. and Ko, E. I. Structural sensitivity of CO adsorption and H₂CO coadsorption on NiSiO₂ catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1985**, *96*, 210-221.
6. Spenadel, L. and Boudart, M. Dispersion of platinum on supported catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1950**, *64*, 204-207.